



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,946	08/22/2001	Charles Chauveau	C1190/20008	5350

7590 02/27/2002

Caesar Rivise Bernstein
Cohen & Pokotilow
Seven Penn Center 12th Floor
1635 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2212

EXAMINER

GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/830,946

Applicant(s)

CHAUVEAU ET AL.

Examiner

Sharmila S. Gollamudi

Art Unit

1616

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sharmila S. Gollamudi.

(3) _____.

(2) Bruce Chasman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 January 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 103 rejection discussed and in regard to the 103 rejection, the last paragraph of Geyer et al in view of Meyer et al should be deleted. Further, the rejection of claim 1 in 112 rejection should read claim-9 rejected under 112 since claims 1-8 were canceled. Subject matter of the office action has not been changed so a extension of time will not be granted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ALLEN J. ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required